Certificated Teacher Focused Summative Scoring Document Criterion 4: Danielson Framework

The purpose of this document is to capture the progress over the course of the year and to facilitate a growth-focused conversation.

Teacher:	Grade Level(s):	Date:	
Evaluator:	District/School:	School Year:	
Comprehensive			
Evaluation Score*:	From School Year:		
*The final focus summ	ative rating will be the same as the Comprehen	cive score unless it is a 2 and evidence durin	a this avaluation pariod

*The final focus summative rating will be the same as the Comprehensive score unless it is a 3 and evidence during this evaluation period indicates a level 4 practice.

Criterion 4: Providing clear and intentional focus on subject matter content and curriculum

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy	In planning and practice, teacher makes content errors or does not correct errors made by students. Teacher's plans and practice display little understanding of prerequisite relationships	Teacher is familiar with the important concepts in the discipline but displays lack of awareness of how these concepts relate to one another. Teacher's plans and practice indicate some awareness of prerequisite relationships, although such knowledge	Teacher displays solid knowledge of the important concepts in the discipline and the ways they relate to one another. Teacher's plans and practice reflect accurate understanding of prerequisite relationships among topics and concepts.	Teacher displays extensive knowledge of the important concepts in the discipline and the ways they relate both to one another and to other disciplines. Teacher's plans and practice reflect understanding of prerequisite relationships among topics and concepts

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
	important to student's learning of the content. Teacher displays little or no understanding of the range of pedagogical approaches suitable to student's learning of the content.	may be inaccurate or incomplete. Teacher's plans and practice reflect a limited range of pedagogical approaches to the discipline or to the students.	Teacher's plans and practice reflect familiarity with a wide range of effective pedagogical approaches in the discipline.	and provide a link to necessary cognitive structures needed by students to ensure understanding. Teacher's plans and practice reflect familiarity with a wide range of effective pedagogical approaches in the discipline, anticipating student misconceptions.
1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes	Outcomes represent low expectations for students and lack of rigor, and not all of them reflect important learning in the discipline. Outcomes are stated as activities rather than as student learning. Outcomes reflect only one type of learning and only one discipline or strand and are suitable for only some students.	Outcomes represent moderately high expectations and rigor. Some reflect important learning in the discipline and consist of a combination of outcomes and activities. Outcomes reflect several types of learning, but teacher has made no attempt at coordination or integration. Most of the outcomes are suitable for most of the	Most outcomes represent rigorous and important learning in the discipline. All the instructional outcomes are clear, are written in the form of student learning, and suggest viable methods of assessment. Outcomes reflect several different types of learning and opportunities for coordination. Outcomes take into account the varying needs of groups of students.	All outcomes represent rigorous and important learning in the discipline. The outcomes are clear, are written in the form of student learning, and permit viable methods of assessment. Outcomes reflect several different types of learning and, where appropriate, represent opportunities for both coordination and integration.

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
		students in the class in accordance with global assessments of student learning.		Outcomes take into account the varying needs of individual students.
1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources	Teacher is unaware of school or district resources for classroom use, for the expansion of his or her own knowledge, or for students.	Teacher displays basic awareness of school or district resources available for classroom use, for the expansion of his or her own knowledge, and for students, but no knowledge of resources available more broadly.	Teacher displays awareness of resources—not only through the school and district but also through sources external to the school and on the Internet— available for classroom use, for the expansion of his or her own knowledge, and for students.	Teacher displays extensive knowledge of resources—not only through the school and district but also in the community, through professional organizations and universities, and on the Internet—for classroom use, for the expansion of his or her own knowledge, and for students.
1e: Designing Coherent Instruction	The series of learning experiences is poorly aligned with the instructional outcomes and does not represent a coherent structure. The activities are not designed to engage students in active intellectual activity and have unrealistic time allocations.	Some of the learning activities and materials are suitable to the instructional outcomes and represent a moderate cognitive challenge but with no differentiation for different students. Instructional groups partially support the instructional outcomes, with an effort by	Teacher coordinates knowledge of content, of students, and of resources, to design a series of learning experiences aligned to instructional outcomes and suitable to groups of students. The learning activities have reasonable time allocations; they represent significant cognitive challenge, with some differentiation for different groups of students.	Plans represent the coordination of in-depth content knowledge, understanding of different students' needs, and available resources (including technology), resulting in a series of learning activities designed to engage students in high- level cognitive activity.

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
	Instructional groups do not support the instructional outcomes and offer no variety.	the teacher at providing some variety. The lesson or unit has a recognizable structure; the progression of activities is uneven, with most time allocations reason- able.	The lesson or unit has a clear structure, with appropriate and varied use of instructional groups.	Learning activities are differentiated appropriately for individual learners. Instructional groups are varied appropriately with some opportunity for student choice. The lesson's or unit's structure is clear and allows for different pathways according to diverse student needs.
3.1: Establish Student Growth Goals	The teacher does not develop a student growth goal for a group of students within a class who are not yet reaching full learning potential. OR The teacher does not explain how the knowledge of individual students informed the goal. The goal does not address an essential standard for	The teacher develops a student growth goal for a group of students within a class who are not yet reaching full learning potential. The teacher explains how the knowledge of students informed the goal for the students as a group, but not as individuals. The goal addresses an essential standard for the	The teacher develops a student growth goal for a group of students, within a class, who are not yet reaching full learning potential. The teacher explains how the knowledge of individual students informed the goal in specific ways. The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level.	The teacher develops a student growth goal for a group of students, within a class, who are not yet reaching full learning potential. The teacher explains how the knowledge of individual students informed the goal in specific ways. The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level.

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
	the teacher's content and grade level. The goal does not require students' cognitive or emotional engagement . The teacher does not communicate with students' families about the goal.	teacher's content and grade level. The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement . The teacher communicates the goal to students' families.	The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement. The teacher seeks and considers, when provided, input from students' families in developing the goal.	The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement. The teacher seeks and considers, when provided, input from students' families in developing the goal. The teacher explains to supervisor how the goal yields opportunities for leadership or for collaboration with colleagues to advance their own or their collective professional learning.
3.2: Achievement of Student Growth Goal(s)	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal from only summative assessments.	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments .	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments.	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments .
	There is no evidence of student engagement in assessment of their own progress.	There is limited evidence of student engagement in assessment of their own progress.	There is evidence of student engagement in assessment and student monitoring of their own progress.	There is evidence of student engagement in assessment and student monitoring of their own progress.
	There is no evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how	There is limited or no evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning .	There is evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.	There is evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
	 they experienced the learning. The teacher does not provide evidence of reflecting on how the learning activities and instructional decisions impacted student learning progress. The teacher does not identify the next steps for instruction OR the next steps are uninformed by information about students' progress. 	The teacher reflects with supervisor on student learning progress overall, but not for specific students. The reflection may not establish how the learning activities and instructional decisions impacted student progress. The teacher's identified next steps for instruction are uninformed by student progress and students' experience of learning.	The teacher reflects with supervisor on the learning progress for the individual students in this group; the reflection includes an analysis of how the learning activities and instructional decisions impacted student progress. The teacher uses information from student progress and students' experience of learning to guide their next steps for instruction.	The teacher reflects with supervisor on learning progress for the individual students in this group; the reflection includes an analysis of how the learning activities and instructional decisions impacted student progress. The teacher uses information from student progress and students' experience of learning to: • Guide their next steps for instruction, and • Effect changes in instructional practice or professional learning beyond their own classroom or context.
6.1: Establish Student Growth Goal(s)	The teacher does not develop a student growth goal. OR The teacher does not explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal.	The teacher develops a student growth goal for students in one grade level or class. The teacher uses a single data element to explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal. The goal addresses an essential standard for the	The teacher develops a student growth goal for students in one grade level or class. The teacher uses multiple data elements to explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal.	The teacher develops a student growth goal for students in one grade level or class. The teacher uses multiple data elements to explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal.

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
	The goal does not address an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level. The goal does not require students' cognitive or emotional engagement .	teacher's content and grade level. The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement .	The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level. The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement .	The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level. The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement . The teacher explains to supervisor how the goal yields opportunities for leadership or for collaboration with colleagues to advance their own or their collective professional learning.
6.2: Achievement of Student Growth Goal(s)	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal from only summative assessments. There is no evidence of student engagement in assessment of their own progress. There is no evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments . There is limited evidence of student engagement in assessment of their own progress. There is limited or no evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning .	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments . There is evidence of student engagement in assessment and student monitoring of their own progress. There is evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments . There is evidence of student engagement in assessment and student monitoring of their own progress. There is evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.

Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
 they experienced the learning. The teacher does not provide evidence of taking responsibility for student learning progress. The teacher does not identify the next steps for instruction OR the next steps are uninformed by information about students' progress. 	The teacher reflects with supervisor on student learning progress generally. The reflection may be missing an analysis of why students did or did not make progress, and/or next steps for groups of students or individuals. The teacher's identified next steps for instruction are uninformed by student progress and students' experience of learning.	 The teacher reflects with supervisor on the learning progress for three groups of students: Students who exceeded the learning goal met or nearly met the learning goal did not yet meet the learning goal The reflection includes analysis of why students did or did not make progress, and next steps for each group. The teacher uses information from student progress and students' experience of learning to guide their next steps for instruction. 	The teacher reflects with supervisor on the learning progress for three groups of students: Students who • exceeded the learning goal • met or nearly met the learning goal • did not yet meet the learning goal The teacher uses information from student progress and students' experience of learning to: • Guide their next steps for instruction, and • Effect changes in instructional practice or professional learning beyond their own classroom or context.

Reflective Questions:
As we look toward next year, do you feel that your practice would be enhanced by continuing with this criterion? Or, might it be time to
consider further developing your skills with a different criterion?
Given your response to the previous question, what supports can I, as your evaluator, provide for you to maximize your growth next year?
Both signatures required. Signing of this instrument acknowledges participation in but not necessarily concurrence with the evaluation. (Attach
teacher comments if desired.)

Evaluator Signature: _____ Date: _____

Employee Signature:		Date:	
---------------------	--	-------	--