## Certificated Teacher Focused Summative Scoring Document Criterion 5: Danielson Framework

The purpose of this document is to capture the progress over the course of the year and to facilitate a growth-focused conversation.

Teacher:	Grade Level(s):	Date:	
Evaluator: Comprehensive	District/School:	School Year:	
Evaluation Score*:	From School Year:		

## Criterion 5: Fostering and managing a safe, positive learning environment

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
2a: Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport	Patterns of classroom interactions, both between the teacher and students and among students, are mostly negative, inappropriate, or insensitive to students' ages, cultural	Patterns of classroom interactions, both between the teacher and students and among students, are generally appropriate but may reflect occasional inconsistencies, favoritism, and disregard for students'	Teacher-student interactions are friendly and demonstrate general caring and respect. Such interactions are appropriate to the ages of the students.  Students exhibit respect for the	Classroom interactions among the teacher and individual students are highly respectful, reflecting genuine warmth, caring, and sensitivity to students as individuals.
	backgrounds, and developmental levels.	ages, cultures, and developmental levels.	teacher. Interactions among	Students exhibit respect for

<sup>\*</sup>The final focus summative rating will be the same as the Comprehensive score unless it is a 3 and evidence during this evaluation period indicates a level 4 practice.

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
	Interactions are characterized by sarcasm, put-downs, or conflict. Teacher does not deal with disrespectful behavior.	Students rarely demonstrate disrespect for one another.  Teacher attempts to respond to disrespectful behavior, with uneven results. The net result of the interactions is neutral, conveying neither warmth nor conflict.	students are generally polite and respectful.  Teacher responds successfully to disrespectful behavior among students. The net result of the interactions is polite and respectful, but impersonal.	the teacher and contribute to high levels of civil interaction between all members of the class. The net result of interactions is that of connections with students as individuals.
2c: Managing Classroom Procedures	Much instructional time is lost through inefficient classroom routines and procedures.	Some instructional time is lost through only partially effective classroom routines and procedures.	There is little loss of instructional time because of effective classroom routines and procedures.	Instructional time is maximized because of efficient classroom routines and procedures.
	There is little or no evidence that the teacher is managing instructional groups, transitions, and/or the handling of materials and supplies effectively.  There is little evidence that students know or follow established routines.	The teacher's management of instructional groups, transitions, and/or the handling of materials and supplies is inconsistent, the result being some disruption of learning.  With regular guidance and prompting, students follow established routines.	The teacher's management of instructional groups and the handling of materials and supplies are consistently successful.  With minimal guidance and prompting, students follow established classroom routines.	Students contribute to the management of instructional groups, transitions, and the handling of materials and supplies.  Routines are well understood and may be initiated by students.

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
2d: Managing Student Behavior	There appear to be no established standards of conduct and little or no teacher monitoring of student behavior.  Students challenge the standards of conduct.  Response to students' misbehavior is repressive or disrespectful of student dignity.	Standards of conduct appear to have been established, but their implementation is inconsistent.  Teacher tries, with uneven results, to monitor student behavior and respond to student misbehavior.  There is inconsistent implementation of the standards of conduct.	Student behavior is generally appropriate.  The teacher monitors student behavior against established standards of conduct.  Teacher response to student misbehavior is consistent, proportionate, respectful to students, and effective.	Student behavior is entirely appropriate.  Students take an active role in monitoring their own behavior and that of other students against standards of conduct.  Teachers' monitoring of student behavior is subtle and preventive.  Teacher's response to student misbehavior is sensitive to individual student needs and respects students' dignity.
2e: Organizing Physical Space	The physical environment is unsafe, or many students don't have access to learning resources.  There is poor coordination between the lesson activities and the arrangement of furniture and resources,	The classroom is safe, and essential learning is accessible to most students.  The teacher's use of physical resources, including computer technology, is moderately effective.  Teacher makes some attempt to modify the	The classroom is safe, and learning is accessible to all students; teacher ensures that the physical arrangement is appropriate to the learning activities.  Teacher makes effective use of physical resources, including computer technology.	The classroom is safe, and learning is accessible to all students, including those with special needs.  Teacher makes effective use of physical resources, including computer technology. The teacher ensures that the physical

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
	including computer technology.	physical arrangement to suit learning activities, with partial success.		arrangement is appropriate to the learning activities.  Students contribute to the use or adaptation of the physical environment to advance learning.
3.1: Establish Student Growth Goals	The teacher does not develop a student growth goal for a group of students within a class who are not yet reaching full learning potential.  OR  The teacher does not explain how the knowledge of individual students informed the goal.  The goal does not address an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level.  The goal does not require students' cognitive or emotional engagement.  The teacher does	The teacher develops a student growth goal for a group of students within a class who are not yet reaching full learning potential.  The teacher explains how the knowledge of students informed the goal for the students as a group, but not as individuals.  The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level.  The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement.  The teacher communicates the goal to students' families.	The teacher develops a student growth goal for a group of students, within a class, who are not yet reaching full learning potential.  The teacher explains how the knowledge of individual students informed the goal in specific ways.  The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level.  The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement.  The teacher seeks and considers, when provided, input from students' families in developing the goal.	The teacher develops a student growth goal for a group of students, within a class, who are not yet reaching full learning potential.  The teacher explains how the knowledge of individual students informed the goal in specific ways.  The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level.  The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement.  The teacher seeks and considers, when provided, input from students' families in developing the goal.

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
	not communicate with students' families about the goal.			The teacher explains to supervisor how the goal yields opportunities for leadership or for collaboration with colleagues to advance their own or their collective professional learning.
3.2: Achievement of Student Growth Goal(s)	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal from only summative assessments.	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both <b>formative and summative assessments</b> .	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments.	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both <b>formative and summative assessments</b> .
	There is no evidence of student engagement in assessment of their own progress.	There is limited evidence of student engagement in assessment of their own progress.	There is evidence of <b>student engagement in assessment</b> and student monitoring of their own progress.	There is evidence of <b>student engagement in assessment</b> and student monitoring of their own progress.
	There is no evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the	There is limited or no evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.	There is evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.	There is evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.
	learning.  The teacher does not provide evidence of reflecting on how the learning activities and instructional decisions	The teacher reflects with supervisor on student learning progress overall, but not for specific students. The reflection may not establish how the learning activities and	The teacher reflects with supervisor on the learning progress for the individual students in this group; the reflection includes an analysis of how the learning activities and instructional decisions impacted student progress.	The teacher reflects with supervisor on learning progress for the individual students in this group; the reflection includes an analysis of how the learning activities and

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
	impacted student learning progress.  The teacher does not identify the next steps for instruction OR the next steps are uninformed by information about students' progress.	instructional decisions impacted student progress.  The teacher's identified next steps for instruction are uninformed by student progress and students' experience of learning.	The teacher uses information from student progress and students' experience of learning to guide their next steps for instruction.	instructional decisions impacted student progress.  The teacher uses information from student progress and students' experience of learning to:  • Guide their next steps for instruction, and • Effect changes in instructional practice or professional learning beyond their own classroom or context.
6.1: Establish Student Growth Goal(s)	The teacher does not develop a student growth goal.  OR  The teacher does not explain how the <b>knowledge of students</b> informed the goal.  The goal does not address an <b>essential standard</b> for the teacher's content and grade level.	The teacher develops a student growth goal for students in one grade level or class.  The teacher uses a single data element to explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal.  The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level.  The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement.	The teacher develops a student growth goal for students in one grade level or class.  The teacher uses multiple data elements to explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal.  The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level.  The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement.	The teacher develops a student growth goal for students in one grade level or class.  The teacher uses multiple data elements to explain how the knowledge of students informed the goal.  The goal addresses an essential standard for the teacher's content and grade level.  The goal requires students' cognitive and emotional engagement.

	Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
	The goal does not require students' cognitive or emotional engagement.			The teacher explains to supervisor how the goal yields opportunities for leadership or for collaboration with colleagues to advance their own or their collective professional learning.
6.2: Achievement of Student Growth Goal(s)	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal from only summative assessments.	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both <b>formative and summative assessments</b> .	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both formative and summative assessments.	The teacher provides evidence of student progress on the stated learning goal, which includes both <b>formative and summative assessments</b> .
	There is no evidence of student engagement in assessment of their own progress.	There is limited evidence of student engagement in assessment of their own progress.	There is evidence of <b>student engagement in assessment</b> and student monitoring of their own progress.	There is evidence of <b>student engagement in assessment</b> and student monitoring of their own progress.
	There is no evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the	There is limited or no evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.	There is evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.	There is evidence of opportunities for students to share feedback on how they experienced the learning.
	Iearning.  The teacher does not provide evidence of taking responsibility for student learning progress.  The teacher does not identify the next steps for	The teacher reflects with supervisor on student learning progress generally. The reflection may be missing an analysis of why students did or did not make progress, and/or	The teacher reflects with supervisor on the learning progress for three groups of students: Students who  • exceeded the learning goal  • met or nearly met the learning goal	The teacher reflects with supervisor on the learning progress for three groups of students: Students who  • exceeded the learning goal  • met or nearly met the learning goal

Unsatisfactory	Basic	Proficient	Distinguished
instruction OR the next steps are uninformed by information about students' progress.	next steps for groups of students or individuals.  The teacher's identified next steps for instruction are uninformed by student progress and students' experience of learning.	<ul> <li>did not yet meet the learning goal</li> <li>The reflection includes analysis of why students did or did not make progress, and next steps for each group.</li> <li>The teacher uses information from student progress and students' experience of learning to guide their next steps for instruction.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>did not yet meet the learning goal</li> <li>The teacher uses information from student progress and students' experience of learning to:</li> <li>Guide their next steps for instruction, and</li> <li>Effect changes in instructional practice or professional learning beyond their own classroom or context.</li> </ul>

## **Reflective Questions:**

As we look toward next year, do you feel that your practice would be enhanced by continuing with this criterion? Or, might it be time to consider further developing your skills with a different criterion?

Given your response to the previous question, what supports can I, as your evaluator, provide for you to maximize your growth next year?

Both signatures required. Signing of this instrument acknowledges participation in but not necessarily concurrence with the evaluation. (Attach teacher comments if desired.)

Evaluator Signature:	Date:	
Employee Signature:	Date:	