PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (June 2024) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)
Do NOT use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON **FILED**

DATE: November 25, 2024

TIME: 2:39 PM

WSR 24-24-040

Agency: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI)								
□ Original Notice □ Original No								
☐ Supplemental Noti	ce to WSR							
☐ Continuance of WS	SR							
□ Preproposal State □ Preproposal State	ment of Inq	uiry was filed as WSR 24-1	17-040	_ ; or				
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or								
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or								
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW								
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) WAC 392-194-002 – Fee for processing initial educator certificate applications and subsequent actions								
Hearing location(s):								
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)		Comment:				
January 7, 2025	10:00 a.m.	Virtual Public Hearing via Zoom (call-in option also available). Participation link available on OSPI Rules webpage: Ospi.k12.wa.us/policy-funding/ospi-rulemaking-activity		The hearing will be held as a virtual public hearing, without a physical meeting space. Please visit the OSPI Rules webpage for information on participating in the hearing: ospi.k12.wa.us/policy-funding/ospi-rulemaking-activity For participation questions, please email: sirena.wu@k12.wa.us				
			•					
Date of intended adop		ary 9, 2025 (Note: Th		OT the effective date)				
Submit written comments to:			Assistance for persons with disabilities:					
Name Timothy York			Contact Sirena Wu, OSPI Rules Coordinator					
Address OSPI, PO Box 47200, Olympia, WA 98504			Phone 360-480-9317					
Email timothy.york@k12.wa.us			Fax					
Fax			TTY 360-664-3631					
Other			Email sirena.wu@k12.wa.us					
Beginning (date and time) December 18, 2024, 8:00 a.m.			Other					
By (date and time) <u>January 7, 2025, 5:00 p.m.</u>				By (date) December 31, 2024				
the current processing implement a new application advance of the certification.	system for ection deadles ation expiration expiration expirations at the feet expirations are feet expirated.	educator certification applica ine system that requires ren on date. To enforce this cha	itions in ewal cange, C	y changes in existing rules: In an effort to improve a Washington state, OSPI is working with PESB to ertification applications to be submitted six months in SPI is proposing rulemaking to make effective starting tions after their respective certification application				
Reasons supporting allow OSPI to enforce their certificates before	proposal: F the new app the start of	lication deadline system, wh school are able to do so in a	nich is i	tho apply after their application deadline date would ntended to ensure that all educators seeking to renew manner.				
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 28A.410.062 Statute being implemented:								
Statute being implemented:								

Is rule necessary	y because of a:							
Federal La	☐ Yes ⊠ No							
Federal Co	□ Yes ⋈ No							
State Court	□ Yes ⋈ No							
If yes, CITATION:	If yes, CITATION:							
Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters:								
Name of proponent: (person or organization) Type of proponent: □ Private. □ Public. □ Governmental.								
Name of agency personnel responsible for:								
	Name	Office Location	Phone					
Drafting	Timothy York	OSPI, 600 S. Washington St, Olympia, WA						
Implementation	Timothy York	OSPI, 600 S. Washington St, Olympia, WA I						
Enforcement		OSPI, 600 S. Washington St, Olympia, WA						
Is a school distri	ict fiscal impact stater	ment required under RCW 28A.305.135?	☐ Yes ⊠ No					
If yes, insert state	ement here:							
The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting: Name Address Phone Fax TTY Email Other Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328? Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting: Name Address Phone Fax TTY Email Other								
	se explain:							
Regulatory Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Impact Statement Note: The Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and Assistance (ORIA) provides support in completing this part.								
(1) Identification of exemptions: This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). For additional information on exemptions, consult the exemption guide published by ORIA . Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):								
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or regulation this rule is being adopted to conform or comply with, and describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not adopted. Citation and description:								
 ☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt because the agency has completed the pilot rule process defined by RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed rule. ☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was adopted by a referendum. 								

☐ This rule	☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:					
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)			
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)			
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)			
	☐ RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)			
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process			
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)			
	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt u	under <u>RC</u>	W 19.85.025(4). (Does not affect small businesses).			
	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt u	under RC	W <u>RCW 19.85.030</u> .			
Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule: No small business economic impact statement has been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW. The proposed amendment does not have an impact on small business and therefore does not meet the requirements for a statement under RCW 19.85.030 (1) or (2).						
	exemptions: Check one.					
 ☑ The rule proposal: Is fully exempt. (Skip section 3.) Exemptions identified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal. ☐ The rule proposal: Is partially exempt. (Complete section 3.) The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule 						
	proposal: is partially exempt. (Complete section of the control of	,				
	proposal: Is not exempt. (Complete section 3.) No	•	,			
(3) Small business economic impact statement: Complete this section if any portion is not exempt.						
If any portion of the proposed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?						
☐ No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs.						
☐ Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:						
The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:						
Na	Name					
	Address					
	Phone					
Fa TT						
Em						
	ner					
Date: Noven	Date: November 25, 2024		Chin P.S. Reykal			
Name: Chris Reykdal						
Title: State Superintendent of Public Instruction						