PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (June 2024) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: September 10, 2025

TIME: 9:25 AM

WSR 25-19-046

without a physical meeting space. Please visit the OSPI

Agency: Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI)
☑ Original Notice
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR
□ Continuance of WSR
□ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 25-13-047; or
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or
☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) School Seismic Safety Program Implementation:
 Amendatory sections: WAC 392-341-020 – District application—Study and survey. WAC 392-341-025 – State study and survey—Content. WAC 392-343-030 – Applicable state funding assistance percentage for project. WAC 392-343-080 – Value engineering studies, constructability reviews, and building commissioning—Requirements and definition. WAC 392-344-155 – Final acceptance of project by architect/engineer.
 New sections: WAC 392-341-013 – Waiver of rules to facilitate school seismic safety program projects. WAC 392-343-013 – Waiver of rules to facilitate school seismic safety program projects. WAC 392-344-013 – Waiver of rules to facilitate school seismic safety program projects. WAC 392-347-011 – Waiver of rules to facilitate school seismic safety program projects.
 New chapter and sections: Chapter 392-902 WAC – School Seismic Safety Grant Program. WAC 392-902-005 – Purpose and authority. WAC 392-902-010 – School seismic safety—Eligibility. WAC 392-902-020 – Building inventory and condition data. WAC 392-902-030 – School seismic safety planning grants. WAC 392-902-040 – School seismic safety design and construction grants. WAC 392-902-050 – School seismic safety construction grant—Funding determination for seismic structural retrofit projects. WAC 392-902-060 – School seismic safety construction grant—Funding determination for seismic modernization projects. WAC 392-902-070 – School seismic safety construction grant—Funding determination for vertical evacuation tower projects. WAC 392-902-080 – School seismic safety construction grant—Space eligibility for seismic modernization projects. WAC 392-902-090 – School seismic safety construction grant—Space eligibility for vertical evacuation towers. WAC 392-901-100 – Seismic retrofit projects and future state assistance.
Hearing location(s):
Date: Time: Location: (be specific) Comment:
October 21, 2025 11:00 a.m. Virtual Public Hearing via Zoom The hearing will be held as a virtual public hearing,

(call-in option also available).

		Participation link available	on	Rules webpage for information	n on participa	ating in the
		OSPI Rules webpage:		hearing: ospi.k12.wa.us/policy-funding/	dina/aani rulamakina aativity	
		ospi.k12.wa.us/policy-		For participation questions, plant		King-activity
		funding/ospi-rulemaking-ac	tivity	sirena.wu@k12.wa.us		
Date of intended a	adoption: Octob	er 23 2025 (Note: T	his is N	IOT the effective date)		
Submit written co		(140tc. 11		tance for persons with disab	ilities:	
			Contact Sirena Wu, OSPI Rules Coordinator			
			Phone 360-480-9317			
			Fax			
			TTY 360-664-3631			
			Email sirena.wu@k12.wa.us			
			Other			
By (date and time			By (date) October 14, 2025			
· ·			• •	y changes in existing rules:	The Washin	gton State
				es the Office of Superintendent		
				ricts to receive seismic funds a		
				alignment with SSB 5933 (202 urrent school construction rules		
				ant funds during the biennium. I		
procedures are ned	cessary to prese	rve the general welfare by a		OSPI to proceed with the grar		
funding to school districts in accordance with legislative intent.						
Reasons supporting proposal: The rule changes are necessary to implement the School Seismic Safety Program and to						
coordinate the new program with the School Construction Assistance Program (SCAP). Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 28A.525.020; RCW 28A.525.320						
Statute being imp	lemented:	<u>`</u>				
ls rule necessary	because of a:					
Federal Law?					□ Yes	⊠ No
Federal Court Decision?					☐ Yes	⊠ No
State Court Decision?					☐ Yes	⊠ No
If yes, CITATION:						
Agency comment matters:	s or recommen	dations, if any, as to statu	tory la	inguage, implementation, enf	orcement, a	and fiscal
Name of propone	\•	,				
Type of proponen	t: ☐ Private. ☐	☐ Public. ☐ Governmental.				
Name of agency p	ersonnel respo	onsible for:				
	Name	Office Loca	tion		Phone	
Drafting	Scott Black	OSPI, 600	00 S. Washington St, Olympia, WA			
Implementation	Scott Black	OSPI, 600	S. Washington St, Olympia, WA			
Enforcement		OSPI, 600 S. Washington St, Olympia, WA				
ls a school distric	t fiscal impact	statement required under	RCW :	28A.305.135?	□ Yes	⊠ No
If yes, insert staten	-					
The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting:						
Name						
Address Phone						
Fax						
TTY						
Email						
Other						

Is a cost-be	Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?					
☐ Yes:	A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obt	tained by d	contacting:			
N	ame					
A	ddress					
	hone					
	ax					
T	TY					
	mail					
	ther					
⊠ No:	Please explain:					
	Fairness Act and Small Business Economic Governor's Office for Regulatory Innovation and		Statement e (ORIA) provides support in completing this part.			
	cation of exemptions:					
			requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see ult the exemption guide published by ORIA. Please			
check the b	ox for any applicable exemption(s):					
☐ This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.061</u> because this rule making is being adopted solely to conform and/or comply with federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or						
regulation to adopted.	his rule is being adopted to conform or comply v	with, and d	escribe the consequences to the state if the rule is not			
	d description:					
	·					
			the agency has completed the pilot rule process			
	RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of this proposed as portions of the proposed is exempted.					
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exemple a referendum.	ot under th	e provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was			
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exemp	ot under P	CW 10.85.025(3). Check all that apply:			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)			
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)			
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)			
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)			
 ⊠ This rule	e proposal or portions of the proposal is exemp	nt under R	CW 19.85.025(4). (Does not affect small businesses).			
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exemp		. , ,			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule: No small business economic impact statement has been prepared under chapter 19.85 RCW. The proposed amendment does not have an impact on small business and therefore does not meet the requirements for a statement under RCW 19.85.030 (1) or (2).						
	of exemptions: Check one.					
		mptions id	lentified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.			
☐ The rule proposal: Is partially exempt. (Complete section 3.) The exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule						
proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using this template from ORIA):						
☐ The rule	e proposal: Is not exempt. (Complete section 3.)	No exemp	otions were identified above.			
(3) Small business economic impact statement: Complete this section if any portion is not exempt.						
If any portion of the proposed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?						
☐ No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not						
impose more-than-minor costs Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:						
İ						

The public may obtain a copy of the small busine contacting:	ess economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by
Name	
Address	
Phone	
Fax	
TTY	
Email	
Other	
Date: September 10, 2025	Signature:
Name: Chris Reykdal	Chin P.S. Reyland
Title: State Superintendent of Public Instruction	